

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,455	02/02/2001	Yasuo Ishihara	54399039	1570	
128	7590 11/15/2005	EXAMINER			
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			NGUYEN, PHUNG		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, NJ 07962-2245		2632		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/775,455	ISHIHARA ET AL.	ISHIHARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Phung T. Nguyen	2632			

l			Friding 1. Ngdyen	2032					
		The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
1	THE	REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.					
и	* 1. X	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.						
	b)		iod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no lowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
		sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on							
i i	CFR above earne	filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) is, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL							
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the day of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appearance of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
	3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
		(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,					
	t	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	•	reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	r Herrina Herrina	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, -	rejected claims.					
	4.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amondmon	+ (DTOL 224)				
	5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Compilant Amendmen	((F 10L-324).				
1	6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		te, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
		the non-allowable claim(s).	_						
		For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of				
	1	Claim(s) allowed:			4				
1	ş .	Claim(s) objected to: <u>12 and 17.</u>	-45 and 48						
	•	Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,9,11,13,14,18,21-28,32,34,37-39,42-45 and 48</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	8. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
		The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
	REQ	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation The STREET TO THE TENTION TO THE TREET THE TREET TO THE TREET THE TREET TO THE TREET THE TREET TO THE TREET TO THE TREET TO THE TREET TREET THE TREET THE TREET TREET THE TREET THE TREET TRE		•					
	it ·	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:				
1	12. [13 Г	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)					
-		<u></u>							

Phy Ngym 11/09/05

continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Crook does not disclose "assigning risk values to parameters and summing the assignaed risk values" is not persuasive. Since Crook teaches other data is taken from existing aircraft instruments and used with distance and ground speed (parameters) to make computations (summing the assigned risk values) see col. 1, lines 32-35, examiner believes the cited references still read on all limitations of claims.